

Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- Whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Environments and Neighbourhoods	Service area: Housing Leeds			
Lead person: Neil Diamond	Contact number: 0113 3781362			
1. Title: Hebden Place - Garage Site Demolition – Road Layout Changes				
Is this a:				
Strategy / Policy Service / Function x Other				
If other, please specify				
2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening				
Housing Leeds is working with Ward Members to deliver a project which will demolish 6 garage assets and allow a new road layout which creates 10 on street parking spaces.				

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different equality characteristics?		Х
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		Х
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		Х
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		Х
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment Advancing equality of opportunity Fostering good relations 		Х

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity;
 cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to section 4.
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

A) Key findings

(Think about: any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

- The proposed scheme which will improve the aesthetic of the area for residents from all tenures and will reduce risk.
- Extra car parking capacity will be created for the entire street and not just restricted group of 6 garage holders.

C) Actions

(Think about: how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

- Garage tenants are being consulted about alternative garage tenancies available locally.
- **5.** If you are **not** already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you **will need to carry out an impact assessment**.

Date to complete your impac	ct assessment					
Lead person for your impact assessment						
(Include name and job title)						
6. Governance, ownership and approval						
Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening						
Type of Decision being assessed Please tick as appropriate						
• • • •	ng savings over £250 000 each ve	ar - ar				
	Key (Incurring expenditure or making savings over £250,000 each year and or outcome will have significant effect on communities ling in an area comprising two or more wards)					
Major (incurring expenditure or making savings over £100,000 per year)						
Significant Other (as Delegated Decision Making definition set out in Pt 3 of Constitution)						
Administrative (not in conflict with approved policies and do not raise new issues of policy						
Name	Job title	Date				
Mark Wheelwright	Investment Strategy	9 th December 201	4			
	Officer					
7. Publishing						
This screening document wi		. ,	•			
has been given. If you are no		ndent impact assessm	ent the			
screening document will need to be published.						
Data careaning completed						
Date screening completed						
Date sent to Equality Team						
(cc Service Improvement Section - Linda Marsh)						
Date published	·					
(To be completed by the Equal	ity Team)					